



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/648,111

08/25/2000

Kwang-Jo Hwang

3430-0131P

5562

7590 07/06/2009  
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP  
PO BOX 747  
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER
----------

WILSON, ALLAN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2815

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/06/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/648,111	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HWANG, KWANG-JO	
	<b>Examiner</b> ALLAN R. WILSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2815	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALLAN R. WILSON. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) ROBERT WEBSTER. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,22,30 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chen and Muraguchi.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Reference Chen teaches plasma treatment of photoresist, not the treatment of metal prior to etch. Muraguchi teaches on page 4 that oxygen atoms are removed, not treating exposed metal to increase the etch rate. The applied prior art does not appear to render obvious the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ALLAN R WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815	
---	--